COUDCE TITLE				
COURSE TITLE:	Machine	Leaving	2017	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.		/	Disagree		
	Comments:					
0						
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.		/			
	Comments:		$\overline{}$			
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available	/				
	to provide me with support and guidance <u>Comments:</u>					
	Comments.					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with	/				
	additional support and guidance					
	Comments:					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out		/			
	and the content closely matched the aims.					,
	<u>Comments:</u>					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with		,			
	lectures and added value to the course.					
	<u>Comments:</u>					
7.	The overall level of the course was about		(			
	right, given my background. Comments:					
			V			
	I would recommend this course to a colleague.		/			
	Comments:		$\sqrt{}$			
	The pace of this course was	Much too	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too
9.	The page of this course was	fast	100 1431	/ wout right	100 31000	MUCHIO

0. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
ease write any additional comments you wish to	o make at	oout the o	course here;		

COUDER TITLE		N		
COURSE TITLE:		Machine	10000	2017
	. –	MUCCITITE	Learnina	

111	the incorrect box.					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	1		Disagree		
	<u>Comments</u> :	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:		X			
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:		X			
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance					
	Comments:		X			
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.		/			
	Comments:		X			
3.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.		2			
	Comments:	X				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.		\/			
	Comments:		X			
3.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	\ /				
S	Comments:	X				
).	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mo difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	oout the	course here		
•					

COURSE TITLE	
COURSE TITLE:	2017

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	\ .		Disagree		
	Comments:	X				
2	The lead of the second of the					
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	1				
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	\/				
	Comments:	X	-			
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance					
	Comments:	\\ \Delta \\				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	( ,				
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with	2				
	lectures and added value to the course.  Comments:	X				
	Comments.					
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:		X			
				e <sub>l</sub>		
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
	Comments:	Ŏ				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	bout the o	course here;		
H would have been,	ricl	to 0	liscuss	2	
H would have been ; De neuro returale approx	ul				

COUDOE TITLE				
COURSE TITLE:	Machine	Learning	2017	

	the incorrect box.					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	<u>Comments</u> :	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	1				
for	guesting bull	X				
	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	X				
La	Comments: Very thorough during b portion of the class					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.	Ý				
	Comments:	N				
	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.	<b>\</b>				
	Comments:	<i>X</i>				
	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	\^	49			
	<u>Comments:</u>	*				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
1. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish  Suggert little more time con and bagging  Tying in the importance / in the discipline  - provide suggers on current out gives technique	ering ro	andon	horse A	book	

COURSE TITLE:	2017

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	/		Dioagree		
	Comments:	10				
1	he slists oud aditional graterials were very helpful					
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	1/				
	Comments:					
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	V				
0 1	Comments: 2 was Average to neet sturents ned open to questions					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance		V			
E	Comments: He is effective and per to auswer questiones					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	,				
	Comments:	\ \frac{1}{2}				
0	The leb to the second s					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:		✓			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments: = think the course					
W	or push settlewilling siscouse Is those A DUANCISThou I t	1006	L			
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	300				
	Comments:					
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mo
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Po
Please write any additional comments you wish	to make al	oout the	course here;		

COURSE TITLE:	2017

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	X				
	Comments:					
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	×				
	Comments:					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	X				
	Comments:	•				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.	<b>Y</b>				
	Comments:					
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.	<b>/</b>				
	Comments:					
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	$\checkmark$				
	Comments:					
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

0. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish to	o make at	oout the	course here;		
	•				

COURSE TITLE.	A 1 1 5	
COURSE TITLE: MACHINE	LEAR NING	2017

	The state of the s					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	1		Disagree		
	Comments:	V				
2	The Levis	_	_			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	1				
	Comments:	V				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available					
	to provide me with support and guidance	1				
	Comments:	¥				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with					
	additional support and guidance					
	Comments:					
5.	The sime of the same				_	
J.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	1				
	<u>Comments:</u>					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with					
	lectures and added value to the course.	1				
	Comments:	V				
7.	The overall level of the course was about					
	right, given my background.	1				
	Comments:	Ţ				
	I would recommend this course to a					
	colleague.  Comments:	4				
-		W				
9. Qn	The pace of this course was  average about right but some parts, cally maths were fast, and introductions	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too
espec	eally maths were fast, and introductions			X		slow
20	As a supplier to			, ,		

	No Lana	Lana	As difficult as	More	Much more
10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	described	difficult	difficult
the secure of the secure of	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
11. My overall assessment of the course is	/				
	ıA				
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	oout the	course here	· ;	
			ž		

COURSE TITLE	
COURSE TITLE:	2017

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	. /		Disagree		
	Comments:	V				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.  Comments:	/				
	Comments.					
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	\/				
	,					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	V				
	Comments:					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.		x /			
	Comments:		V			
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:		V			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.	1				
	Comments:					
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	. /				
	Comments:	V				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make at	oout the	course here		,
_					
		×			
		į.			
					<del>.</del>

(	COURSE TITLE: Machine Learni	a 3-			2017		
P	lease place an X in the appropriate box. the incorrect box.	lf you n	nake a m	nistake,	complete	ly fill	
	THE MICEITECT BOX.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree no		Strongly Disagree	
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.  Comments:	X		Disagree	9		
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.  Comments:		X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:		X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance  Comments:				X		
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.  Comments:				X		
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.  Comments:	X					
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.  Comments:			X			
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.  Comments:				×		
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too	

Much too slow

0. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
		$\times$			
My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
lease write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	oout the	course here		

COURSE TITLE:	
COURSE TITLE:	2017

	<del>-</del>	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	<ul><li>The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>	X		2.649.66		
2	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.  Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance  Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.  Comments:					
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.  Comments:					
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.  Comments:	X				
3.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.  Comments:					
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
			Fain	Door	Very Poor
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Foor
	X	9			
			boro		
Please write any additional comments you wish t			-		
Generally really e	jood	. Gn	ly thi	ng H	hat
could potentially be	imp	rove	dis	s So	me
of the lab exerc	ise	ex	ample	5, W	here
methods that are sur	presd	1 30	resu	,lf In	
boether predictions,	ran	ely c	ectual	ly 51	nell
this on the scarc	e c	data	V de		11
would be coal t					
that changing pr	nram	eters	an E	tuall	<b>&gt;</b>
con de somethir					
good example det	- 0	15	of	CCU	rge
not early					

AALIDAR TITL		
COURSE TITLE: Machine	Learning	2017

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	,		Disaglee		
	<u>Comments</u> :	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:	X	~			
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with					
	additional support and guidance  Comments: Didn't ask for it					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.				7	
	<u>Comments:</u>	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:		X			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:			X		
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
	Comments:	$\times$				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

0. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make ak	oout the	course here;		

COLIDCE TITLE	11 11.			
COURSE TITLE:	Machine	Learning	1K	2017

	the meditect box.					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course					
	concepts.  Comments:	4				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:	1				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	X			-	
	<u>Comments:</u>					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	-/				
	<u>Comments:</u>	*				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:		*			
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
9	Comments:	*				-
)	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow
				+		

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mor difficult
			X		
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
	X				
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	out the	course here	;	

001100= ====		_			
COURSE TITLE:	1 10		44.4		2017
	IK	-	MAILLANE	1 -10 Al: All.	2011
			MACHINE	LPAR NING	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	<u>Comments</u> :	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	( )				
	Comments:	X				
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	, ,				
	<u>Comments:</u>	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.	( )				
	Comments:	X				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	<u>Comments:</u>	X				
	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	()	I			
	Comments:	X				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mo difficul
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Po
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make al	oout the	course here	i	
					×

COURSE TITLE:	1K	MACHINE LEARNING	2017

111	the incorrect box.					
	, «	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	\/		Disagree		
	Comments:	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	\_/				
	Comments:					
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance	X				
	Comments:					
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	X				
	Comments:					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	. /				
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with					*
	lectures and added value to the course.  SOMETIMES THE EXERGIZES  Comments: WERE A BIT TOO LABORIUS  AND SOLUTIONS WERE DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WE LEARNED IN THE CABS(SOME)		X			
7.	The overall level of the course was about					
	right, given my background. <u>Comments:</u>	$\times$				
	Comments.					
8.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	V				
	Comments:	X				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow
				, ,	1	

10. Com	pared to its descrip	otion, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much mo difficult
11. My o	verall assessment	of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
Please v	vrite any additiona	comments you wish	to make at	out the	course here;		,
	THANKS	FOR A	GREI	97	COURS	st,	
				*			
					*		
		. **					

COLUDOR TITLE					
COURSE TITLE:	11	11-1-500	10	2017	
	11	Machine	Cravning	2017	
				4	

2.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.  Comments:  The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
2.	and clear.  Comments:  The lecturer was good at explaining course		X	Disagree		
	The lecturer was good at explaining course					1
	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:		X			
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:		X			
	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance		X			
	Comments:					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:				X	
	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
9	Comments:					
7. i	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
<u>(</u>	Comments:		X			
	would recommend this course to a colleague.					
<u>(</u>	Comments:		ı	X		
9. ¯	Γhe pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
			X		1
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
,			X		

Please write any additional comments you wish to make about the course here;

1 think the course should cover more machine reaching methods that deal with highdimensional data & big data, and some
state-of-the art methods like ANN.

COUDCE TITLE			
COURSE TITLE:	MACHINE LEARNING	2017	

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.			Disagree		
	Comments:	X	2			
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.					
	Comments:	8				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:	1				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	×				
	<u>Comments:</u>					
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.					
	Comments:		7			
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:	X				
	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
TRE CO	Comments: THE LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE /PRO- FICIENCY IN 'R' REQUIRED TO CR USED IN THE COURSE WAS HIGHER THEN THE URSE DESCRIPTION SUGGESTED			X		
3.	I would recommend this course to a colleague.					
	Comments:	X				
).	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

10. Compared to its description, this course was	Much less difficult	Less difficult	As difficult as described	More difficult	Much more difficult
11. My overall assessment of the course is	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poo
Please write any additional comments you wish t	o make at	oout the	course here;		.1
>					

COURSE TITLE:	2047
	2017

	the incorrect box.					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The teaching was well organised, structured and clear.	,		Disagree		
	<u>Comments</u> :	X				
2.	The lecturer was good at explaining course concepts.	. /				
	Comments:	X				
3.	The lecturer was approachable and available to provide me with support and guidance					
	Comments:	X				
4.	The Teaching Assistant (if applicable) was effective and available to provide me with additional support and guidance	\/				
	Comments:		,			
5.	The aims of the course were clearly set out and the content closely matched the aims.	,				
	Comments:	X				
6.	The lab/exercises were well coordinated with lectures and added value to the course.					
	Comments:	$\times$				
7.	The overall level of the course was about right, given my background.					
	Comments:	X				
	I would recommend this course to a colleague.	\ /		-		
	Comments:	$\times$				
9.	The pace of this course was	Much too fast	Too fast	About right	Too slow	Much too slow

	Much less	Less	As difficult as	More	Much more					
10. Compared to its description, this course was	difficult	difficult	described	difficult	difficult					
44 Managed accessment of the course in	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor					
11. My overall assessment of the course is	X									
,	/\									
Please write any additional comments you wish to make about the course here;										
The course was excellent. The course infinction,										
Luces, and TA, PI	~1/1PG	, W	on Ins	Jas	m(-					
Luces, and TA, Philipp, were factorist.  I thorough enjoyed the contained  got a bot from it.										
det or pet lessen of	-									
·										
			,							